AMSER Case of the Month:

59 year old man with incidental large intra-abdominal mass found on physical examination





Sarah Kyle, MS4 at Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine – Georgia Campus
Dr. Matthew Hartman, Allegheny Health Network – Diagnostic Radiology
Dr. Kossivi Dantey, Allegheny Health Network – Pathology
Dr. Adam Kauffman, PGY-1 at Allegheny Health Network – Pathology
Dr. Suzanne Schiffman, Allegheny Health Network – Surgery Oncology



Patient Presentation

59-year-old male with a history of spina bifida and severe kyphoscoliosis was found to have a large abdominal mass on physical examination. The patient denied abdominal pain or distention. The patient has no personal history of cancer and no significant family history of cancer.



What Imaging Should We Order?



ACR Appropriateness Criteria

Scenario ²		Scenario d	Procedure	Adult RRL	Peds RRL	Appropriateness Category	
Abdominal mass, intra-abdominal	3	3074207	US abdomen	0 mSv O	0 mSv [ped] O	Usually appropriate	
neoplasm suspected	reo	d first	CT abdomen with IV contrast	1-10 mSv ಹಾಕಾ	3-10 mSv [ped]	Usually appropriate	
			MRI abdomen without IV contrast	0 mSv O	0 mSv [ped] O	May be appropriate	
Order	red	l next	MRI abdomen without and with IV contrast	0 mSv O	0 mSv [ped] O	May be appropriate	
			CT abdomen without IV contrast	1-10 mSv ₩₩	3-10 mSv [ped]	May be appropriate	
			Radiography abdomen	0.1-1mSv ጭ≎	0.03-0.3 mSv [ped]	Usually not appropriate	
			Fluoroscopy contrast enema	1-10 mSv ₩₩₩	3-10 mSv [ped]	Usually not appropriate	
			Fluoroscopy upper GI series	1-10 mSv ₩₩	0.3-3 mSv [ped]	Usually not appropriate	
			Fluoroscopy upper GI series with small bowel follow-through	1-10 mSv ಹಾಕ	3-10 mSv [ped]	Usually not appropriate	
			CT abdomen without and with IV contrast	10-30 mSv ಹಾಹಾ	10-30 mSv [ped]	Usually not appropriate	
			FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	10-30 mSv ԾԾԾԾ	3-10 mSv [ped]	Usually not appropriate	

MSER

CT Findings (unlabeled)





CT Findings: (labeled)







Scout image showing severe kyphoscoliosis

Solid, soft tissue retroperitoneal mass (M) located anterior to the left kidney (K) and posterior to the splenic artery (A). Vertebrae (V)

The mass (M) is inferior to the spleen (S) and superior to the left kidney (K)



*MRI 12 years prior showed no evidence of mass.

MRI Findings: (unlabeled)







MRI Findings: (labeled)

T2

The mass is slightly T2 hyperintense with a central focus of hypointensity (arrow)





T2 fat saturated

Focal areas that lose signal on fatsaturated sequences (arrows) are suggestive of some fatty composition

T1 postcontrast

Showing heterogenous areas of enhancement (arrows)



T1 precontrast

Differential Diagnosis

1. Liposarcoma

2. Metastasis

3. Lymphoma

4. Other sarcoma (leiomyosarcoma)



Patient was taken for surgical resection

(sectioned)

MSER

Mass







Pathology



Atypical lipoblasts (a) in a variably cellular myxoid stroma (b)





Dedifferentiated liposarcoma, with myxoid features (MDM2+)



Liposarcoma

Epidemiology:

Most common soft tissue sarcoma in adults (average age of development is 50 years). M > F.

> Most common locations: thigh, retroperitoneum, inguinal region

Clinical Presentation: slow-growing, painless mass

Treatment: Surgical resection +/- radiation/chemotherapy

Prognosis: Recurrence is likely (~40%), often higher grade at recurrence. The liver

and lung are the most common sites of metastasis. Overall mortality ~30% due to

local invasion



Classification of Liposarcoma and Radiological Findings

1. Well-differentiated liposarcoma: consists primarily of fat with small non-lipomatous components and septations. Septations may enhance on post-contrast imaging.

2. Dedifferentiated liposarcoma: nodular region of non-lipomatous content > 1cm is suggestive of dedifferentiation

3. Myxoid liposarcoma: similar appearance to dedifferentiated liposarcoma. Often contain very little (< 10%) fat. Subtle fat may be identified with MRI T1, T2, and fat-saturated images.

4. Pleomorphic liposarcoma: very little to no visible fat radiologically. Hemorrhage/necrosis more likely



References:

- Feger J., Sharma R., Foster T. (2020, December). Dedifferentiated liposarcoma. *Reference article, Radiopaedia.org* <u>https://doi.org/10.53347/rID-85079</u>
- Khan, A.N., Chandramohan, M., Macdonald, S., Alkubaidan, F.O. (2019, April). Liposarcoma Imaging. *Medscape*. <u>https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/391272-overview#a1</u>
 Murphy, M.D., Arcara, L.K., Faphurg Smith, L. (2005, Soptembor). Imaging of Musculoskolot
- Murphy, M.D., Arcara, L.K., Fanburg-Smith, J. (2005, September). Imaging of Musculoskeletal Liposarcoma with Radiologic-Pathologic Correlation. *Radiographics.* 25(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.255055106</u>
- O'Regan, K.N., Jagannathan, J., Krajewski, K., Zukotynski, K., Souza, F., Wagner, A.J., Ramaiya, N. (2011, July). Imaging of Liposarcoma: Classification, Patterns of Tumor Recurrence, and Response to Treatment. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 197(1), W37-W43. <u>https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.10.5824</u>
- Schwartz, R.A., Centurion, S.A.. (2023, April). Liposarcoma. *Medscape*. <u>https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1102007-overview</u>

